UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,543	02/17/2004	Moshe Flashner-Barak	1662/63202	3365
26646 KENYON & K	7590 09/08/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		ROYDS, LESLIE A		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/781,543	FLASHNER-BARAK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	LESLIE A. ROYDS	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extraorder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sist forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	aut prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cauco
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ²		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mnliant Amendment (DTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant Americanient (i	101-02-7.
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e.	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,6 and 20</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,20 and 22-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-19 and 21</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>25 Augu</u>	st 2009	
/Ardin Marcohol/	,, ,, , , , , , ,		
/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614	/Leslie A. Royds/ Patent Examiner, Art Un	it 1614	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed after-final amendment filed August 31, 2009 will not be entered into the record because the proposed amendments to, e.g., instant claim 1 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

In particular, it is noted that Applicant has proposed removing the species of "ketoprofen" from the list of possible poorly bioavailable drugs that may be used in the claimed composition in order to obviate the previous rejections, which clearly would require an additional assessment of the prior art to extend the search and consideration of the claims beyond the species already examined and rejected in order to determine the patentability of the claims.

Because the proposed amendments clearly raise new issues that would require further consideration and search, the amendments will not be entered into the record. In addition, the proposed claim amendments are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal because they raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's request for reconsideration of the present application with regard to the objections and/or rejections of record in light of the amendments to the claims proposed and presented in the after-final amendment dated August 31, 2009 has been made. In light of the fact that the proposed amendments to the claims will not be entered into the record, Applicant's remarks directed to the obviation of these rejections as a result of the proposed amendments are not found persuasive.

For these reasons, the proposed amendments to the claims will not be entered. The claims remain objected to and/or rejected for the reasons previously set forth in the final rejection dated May 29, 2009.

/Leslie A. Royds/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614.